

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS
RECORD OF THE DECISIONS OF THE CABINET
HELD AT 5.33 P.M. ON TUESDAY, 5 APRIL 2016

**C1, 1ST FLOOR, TOWN HALL, MULBERRY PLACE, 5 CLOVE CRESCENT,
LONDON, E14 2BG**

Members Present:

Mayor John Biggs	
Councillor Sirajul Islam	(Statutory Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Housing Management & Performance)
Councillor Shiria Khatun	(Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Community Safety)
Councillor Rachael Saunders	(Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Education & Children's Services)
Councillor Rachel Blake	(Cabinet Member for Strategic Development)
Councillor Asma Begum	(Cabinet Member for Culture)
Councillor David Edgar	(Cabinet Member for Resources)
Councillor Ayas Miah	(Cabinet Member for Environment)
Councillor Joshua Peck	(Cabinet Member for Work & Economic Growth)
Councillor Amy Whitelock Gibbs	(Cabinet Member for Health & Adult Services)

Other Councillors Present:

Councillor Peter Golds	(Leader of the Conservative Group)
Councillor Candida Ronald	
Councillor Andrew Wood	

Officers Present:

Luke Addams	(Interim Director of Adult's Services)
Hong Chen	(CIL Projects Officer)
Melanie Clay	(Director, Law Probity and Governance)
Zena Cooke	(Corporate Director, Resources)
Aman Dalvi	(Corporate Director, Development & Renewal)
Emily Fieran-Reed	(Service Manager, Community Cohesion, Engagement and Commissioning, Corporate Strategy and Equality)
Stephen Halsey	(Corporate Director Communities, Localities & Culture)
Trevor Kennett	(Head of Community Safety Enforcement & Markets, CLC)
Kevin Kewin	(Interim Service Head, Corporate Strategy and Equality)
Ellie Kuper-Thomas	(Strategy, Policy and Performance Officer - Executive Mayor's Office, One Tower Hamlets, DLPG)
Martin Ling	(Housing Policy Manager)

Adele Maher	(Strategic Planning Manager, Development and Renewal)
Terry Parkin	Interim Service Head, Learning & Achievement
Matthew Pullen	Major Project Development
Dean RiddickMcGregor	(Political Adviser to the Labour Group)
Peter Robbins	Head of Mayor's office
Will Tuckley	(Chief Executive)
Matthew Mannion	(Committee Services Manager, Democratic Services, Law, Probity and Governance)

AGENDA ORDER

Note that during the meeting the Chair agreed to change the order of business to take Item 4 (Overview and Scrutiny Committee update) at a later point. For clarity the decision sheet is presented in the order the items appeared on the agenda.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Debbie Jones, Corporate Director for Children's Services. Terry Parkin, Interim Service Head, Learning and Achievement deputised.

2. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS

Councillor Asma Begum, Cabinet Member for Culture, declared a personal interest in Agenda Items 5.5 and 5.6 (Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Forum) as her husband was the Vice Chair of the forum.

3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

DECISION

1. That the minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on 2 February 2016 be approved and signed by the Chair as a correct record of proceedings.

4. OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

4.1 Chair's Advice of Key Issues or Questions

Pre-decision Scrutiny Questions were submitted in relation to Agenda Items 5.7 (Revised Regulation 123 List and Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)) and 5.10 (Adult Social Care Local Account). These were considered during discussion of those items.

4.2 Any Unrestricted Decisions "Called in" by the Overview & Scrutiny Committee

Nil items.

5. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION

5.1 Private Sector Renewal Policy

DECISION

1. To approve the Private Sector Renewal Policy attached at Appendix 1 to the report.
2. To adopt a capital estimate of £1,573,000 for the award of Disabled Facilities Grants, as outlined in paragraph 5.2 of the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL (A. DALVI)
(Housing Strategy Manager (M. Ling))

Reasons for the decision

The Council has a duty under Part 1, Chapter 1, Section 3 of the Housing Act 2004 to review housing conditions in its district. Where housing conditions are found to require improvement, assistance can be provided under the terms of Article 3 of the Regulatory Reform (Housing Assistance) Order 2002.

The 2011 private sector stock condition demonstrated that housing conditions are found to require improvement and the Council has a ring-fenced budget available to spend on improvements in the private sector.

Alternative options

The Council could choose to adopt a modified Private Sector Renewal Policy which may require further impact assessment, but the version in the appendix is recommended for the reasons set out in the body of the report.

5.2 Housing Overcrowding and Under Occupation Statement

DECISION

1. To approve the refreshed version of the current Overcrowding and Under-Occupation plan as set out in Appendix B to the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL (A. DALVI)
(Housing Strategy Manager (M. Ling))

Reasons for the decision

The key reason for the approval and implementation of a refreshed Overcrowding and Under –Occupation plan is that the actions, agreed and delivered with partners will go some way to alleviating overcrowding in the borough and improve the wellbeing of those households who have their housing circumstances improved.

Alternative options

The alternative option is to not approve a plan and allow partners to tackle overcrowding in an uncoordinated and individual manner.

5.3 Determination of the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Area Application

The **Mayor** agreed the reasons for urgency as set out in the report.

DECISION

1. To approve the designation of some of the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Area as defined in the plan attached at Appendix 1 to the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL (A. DALVI)

(Strategic Planning Manager (A. Maher))

Reasons for the decision

The Council has received an application to establish a Neighbourhood Planning Area in the Isle of Dogs.

The Council is required to determine applications for the area designations in accordance with the Town and County Planning Act 1990 (as amended) ("TCPA 1990") and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 ("the 2012 Regulations").

The Government's Planning Practice Guidance ("PPG") on Neighbourhood Planning (Ref ID: 41) provides guidance on the determination of such applications. It also states that the role of the Local Planning Authority ("LPA") is to take decisions at key stages in the Neighbourhood Planning process.

Officers have undertaken an assessment of the proposed Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Area application against relevant provisions of the TCPA 1990, the 2012 Regulations and the guidance detailed in the PPG. As a result, officers are satisfied that the Isle of Dogs Area application, with minor amendments, accords with relevant legislative requirements. The application is therefore recommended for approval and a decision should be taken in accordance with the LPA's statutory duties.

Alternative options

In the first instance, officers carefully considered whether it would be appropriate to designate the area specified in the submitted application ('specified area'). Having regard to relevant legislative provisions and the guidance in paragraph 33 of the PPG, the proposed area was not considered appropriate. As such alternative options were sought for the area in accordance with the TCPA 1990.

By virtue of section 61G of the TCPA 1990, the authority must exercise their power of designation where a valid application has been made so as to secure that some, or all, of the specified area forms part of one or more areas designated (or to be designated) as neighbourhood areas where:

- some or all of the specified area has not been designated as a neighbourhood planning area; or
- the authority refuses the application because they consider that the specified area is not an appropriate area to be designated as a neighbourhood area.

The authority may also modify designations already made and ensure that neighbourhood areas do not overlap.

In accordance with section 61H of the TCPA 1990, the authority has an additional option. Section 61H provides that the power of a LPA to designate a neighbourhood area, as a business area, is exercisable by the authority only if, having regard to such matters as may be prescribed, they consider that the area is wholly or predominantly business in nature.

Options available to the authority are therefore to: 1) designate all of the area specified in the application; 2) designate some of the area specified; 3) modify existing designations; and 4) designate the area as a business area. These have been considered by the authority.

5.4 Determination of the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum Application

The **Mayor** agreed the reasons for urgency as set out in the report.

DECISION

1. To approve the application for the designation of the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum, pending the designation of an appropriate Neighbourhood Planning Area.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL (A. DALVI)

(Strategic Planning Manager (A. Maher))

Reasons for the decision

The Council has received an application to establish a Neighbourhood Planning Area in the Isle of Dogs.

The Council is required to determine applications for the designation of neighbourhood areas and forums in accordance with the Town and County Planning Act 1990 (as amended) ("TCPA 1990") and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 ("the 2012 Regulations").

The Government's Planning Practice Guidance ("PPG") on Neighbourhood Planning (Ref ID: 41) also provides guidance on the determination of such applications, which states that the role of the Local Planning Authority (LPA) is to take decisions at key stages in the neighbourhood planning process.

The Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum application has been assessed by Officers against relevant provisions of the TCPA 1990, the 2012 Regulations and the PPG. Officers are satisfied that the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum application meets with the requirements for designation. The application is therefore recommended for approval and a decision should be taken in accordance with the LPA's statutory duties.

Alternative options

A LPA may designate or refuse a neighbourhood forum application. Where the LPA is satisfied that a prospective forum meets the requirements of section 61F of the TCPA 1990, the Forum may be approved. Where the LPA is not satisfied that a prospective Forum meets the said requirements, the LPA may refuse the application and give reasons for the refusal to the prospective neighbourhood forum.

Officers consider that the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum application meets the relevant legislative provisions and therefore recommends the designation of the neighbourhood forum in accordance with relevant legislation. As the application accords with the statutory criteria, there is no alternative option.

5.5 Determination of Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Area Application

The **Mayor** agreed the reasons for urgency as set out in the report.

DECISION

1. To approve the application for the designation of the Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Area with minor amendments as a Neighbourhood Planning Business Area as defined in the plan attached at Appendix 1 to the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL (A. DALVI)
(Strategic Planning Manager (A. Maher))

Reasons for the decision

The Council has received an application to designate a Neighbourhood Planning Area in Spitalfields.

The Council is required to determine applications for the area designations in accordance with the Town and County Planning Act 1990 (as amended) ("TCPA 1990") and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 ("the 2012 Regulations").

The Government's Planning Practice Guidance ("PPG") on Neighbourhood Planning (Ref ID: 41) provides guidance on the determination of such applications. It also states that the role of the Local Planning Authority ("LPA") is to take decisions at key stages in the Neighbourhood Planning process.

Officers have undertaken an assessment of the proposed Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Area application against relevant provisions of the TCPA 1990, the 2012 Regulations and the guidance detailed in the PPG. As a result, officers are satisfied that the Spitalfields Area application, with minor amendments, accords with relevant legislative requirements. The application is therefore recommended for approval and a decision should be taken in accordance with the LPA's statutory duties.

Alternative options

In the first instance, officers carefully considered whether it would be appropriate to designate the area specified ('specified area') in the submitted application. Having regard to relevant legislative provisions and the guidance in paragraph 33 of the PPG, the proposed area was not considered appropriate. As such alternative options for the area were sought in accordance with the TCPA 1990.

By virtue of section 61G of the TCPA 1990, the authority must exercise their power of designation where a valid application has been made so as to secure that some, or all, of the specified area forms part of one or more areas designated (or to be designated) as neighbourhood areas where:

- i) some or all of the specified area has not been designated as a neighbourhood planning area; or
- ii) the authority refuses the application because they consider that the specified area is not an appropriate area to be designated as a neighbourhood area.

The authority may also modify designations already made and ensure that neighbourhood areas do not overlap.

In accordance with section 61H of the TCPA 1990, the authority has an additional option. Section 61H provides that the power of a LPA to designate a neighbourhood area, as a business area, is exercisable by the authority only if, having regard to such matters as may be prescribed, they consider that the area is wholly or predominantly business in nature.

Options available to the authority are therefore to: 1) designate all of the area specified in the application; 2) designate some of the area specified; 3) modify existing designations; and 4) designate the area as a business area. These have been considered by the authority.

5.6 Determination of Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Forum Application

The **Mayor** agreed the reasons for urgency as set out in the report.

DECISION

1. To approve the application for the designation of the Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Forum, pending the designation of an appropriate Neighbourhood Planning Area.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL (A. DALVI)

(Strategic Planning Manager (A. Maher))

Reasons for the decision

The Council has received an application to establish a Neighbourhood Planning Forum in Spitalfields.

The Council is required to determine applications for the designation of neighbourhood areas and forums in accordance with the Town and County Planning Act 1990 (as amended) ("TCPA 1990") and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 ("the 2012 Regulations").

Planning Practice Guidance ("PPG") on Neighbourhood Planning (Ref ID: 41) also provides guidance on the determination of these applications, which states that the role of the LPA is to take decisions at key stages in the neighbourhood planning process.

The Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Forum application has been assessed by Officers against relevant provisions within the TCPA 1990, the 2012 Regulations and the PPG. Officers are satisfied that the Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Forum application meets with the requirements for designation. The application is therefore recommended for approval and a decision should be taken in accordance with the LPA's statutory duties.

Alternative options

A LPA may designate or refuse a neighbourhood forum application. Where the LPA is satisfied that a prospective forum meets the requirements of section 61F of the TCPA 1990, the Forum may be approved. Where the LPA is not satisfied that a prospective Forum meets the said requirements, the LPA may refuse the application and give reasons for the refusal to the prospective neighbourhood forum.

Officers consider that the Spitalfields Neighbourhood Planning Forum application meets the relevant legislative provisions and therefore recommends the designation of the neighbourhood forum in accordance with

relevant legislation. As the application accords with the statutory criteria, there is no alternative option.

5.7 Revised Regulation 123 List and Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document

DECISION

1. To approve the proposals for the revisions to the Regulation 123 List and Planning Obligations SPD (as set out in Appendix A and B), for public consultation.
2. To delegate authority to the Corporate Director of Development and Renewal to approve further consultations on both documents should this prove necessary as a result of changes in law and policy.
3. To delegate authority to the Corporate Director of Development and Renewal, to make changes to the Revised Regulation 123 List and Planning Obligations SPD where necessary, prior to the beginning of the consultation period or any subsequent consultation.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL (A. DALVI)

(Service Head, Planning and Building Control (O. Whalley))

Reasons for the decision

The proposed documents set out the Council's approach to securing and spending CIL and S106 and the relationship between both types of funding. The Planning Obligations SPD explains the Council's approach to infrastructure provision in general and explains which mechanisms will be used to mitigate the impacts of development and to secure specific types of infrastructure.

Consultation allows the public the opportunity to make representations proposed to both documents. Following consultation, the adoption of the SPD and Regulation 123 List will provide clarity for applicants and the public on how infrastructure funding will be secured through S106 and CIL.

Alternative options

Do Nothing and Retain Current Planning Obligations SPD

Failure to proceed with a Revised Regulation 123 List and Planning Obligations SPD could mean that the Council does not have a sufficiently up to date foundation upon which to continue to apply S106 obligations within the limitations set out in the CIL Regulations.

Without a Revised Regulation 123 list and Planning Obligations SPD there would be a lack of clarity between the uses of CIL and S106 obligations.

The Council's capacity to secure S106 obligations which mitigate the impacts of a particular development, and defend planning appeals where this is an issue, will be more limited.

5.8 Voluntary and Community Sector Strategy

DECISION

1. To agree the Strategy and action plan for delivery from May 2016.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, RESOURCES (Z. COOKE)

(Acting Service Head, Corporate Strategy and Equality (K. Kewin)

(Service Manager – Community Cohesion, Engagement and Commissioning)

Reasons for the decision

In March 2015, a Best Value Strategy and Action Plan was agreed with Commissioners on a number of areas and which included grants. This included an action to refresh the Voluntary and Community (VCS) Sector Strategy by March 2016.

Alternative options

To have no strategy in place and continue with existing arrangements for funding and supporting the voluntary and community sector in the borough. This is not recommended. The proposed strategy and action plan supports the Best Value duty and aims to secure improvement in service delivery, informed by consideration of economy, efficiency and effectiveness including co-production and sustainability and will provide the strategic framework for voluntary organisations and community groups to work with the council to meet the needs of local residents. The action plan is outlined in Appendix F.

5.9 Tower Hamlets' Education Award (Higher Education): Post 16 Progression - school-led programme

DECISION

1. To invite Schools' Forum to cover the costs of this programme from unspent DSG;
2. To agree a virement of up to £600,000 to reflect actual costs incurred.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, CHILDREN'S SERVICES (D. JONES)

(Service Head, Learning and Achievement (T. Parkin)

Reasons for the decision

The recommendations are consistent with the Mayor's manifesto commitment to continue with support to students on leaving school. The scheme supports a number of outcomes in the Community Plan, including improving education outcomes and employability. It also expands the range of those able to benefit

from the scheme (when compared to the previous programme) to those intending to move into further education or apprenticeships on leaving school.

The scheme will allow schools to target interventions specifically at our most vulnerable students post-16 with the aim of increasing numbers in education and training post-school.

Alternative options

A wide range of alternatives have been explored. This proposal has the benefit of being easy to administer with established systems in place to fund initiatives in schools through DSG, and places the responsibility of securing impact on schools but also with the freedom for them to use the grants creatively.

The main significant alternative is to discontinue the scheme.

Commissioners have looked before at allocations to schools (25th March 2015) and agreed to delegate decisions around the award of sums to schools from DSG and other passported grants, to the relevant Corporate Director (now of Children's).

5.10 London Borough of Tower Hamlets Adult Social Care Local Account 2014/15

DECISION

1. To note the content of the Local Account as attached at Appendix A to the report and approve it for publication.

Action by:

ACTING DIRECTOR ADULT SERVICES (L. ADDAMS)

(Strategy, Policy and Performance Officer (J. Kerr))

Reasons for the decision

To provide Cabinet with an overview of Tower Hamlets Adult Social Care performance during 2014 – 2015.

Alternative options

NA

5.11 Draft Strategic Plan 2016-19 (including 2016-17 Action Plan)

DECISION

1. To note the agreed Outline Strategic Plan (appendix 1 to the report).
2. To agree the draft 2016/17 Delivery Plan (appendix 2 to the report).

Action by:**DIRECTOR, LAW, PROBITY AND GOVERNANCE (M. CLAY)**

(Acting Service Head, Corporate Strategy and Equalities (K. Kewin))

Reasons for the decision

It is important that the Council sets out its key priorities and how it will measure progress against them. This report presents the full Strategic Plan 2016/17 (i.e. the Outline Plan 2016 and accompanying Delivery Plan).

Alternative options

The Mayor may choose not to agree the Strategic Plan. This course of action is not recommended as there would be significant planning gap: the Strategic Plan is a key element of the Council's business planning arrangements. It is important for the Council to articulate its priorities.

The Mayor in Cabinet may choose to amend the Strategic Plan prior to approval. If he wishes to amend the Plan, regard would need to be given to the Council's medium term financial plan, as well as any impact arising from the changes.

5.12 Contracts Forward Plan - Quarter One (2016-2017)**DECISION**

1. To note that a report on contract CLC 5029 (Healthwatch Tower Hamlets) is due at Cabinet in June to consider the specifications to be used in the process.
2. That a report be prepared for Cabinet on contract CS 5030 (Bow Primary School) for Cabinet approval.
3. That contract LPG 5043 (Statutory Public Notice & External Printed Media Advertising) proceed but that discussions take place on the best way of keeping Cabinet informed of the process.
4. That all other contracts may proceed to contract award after tender as planned.
5. To authorise the Service Head – Legal Services to execute all necessary contract documents in respect of the awards of contracts referred to in the above recommendations.

In respect of the Supplementary Report on contracts for the supply of natural gas and electricity:

6. To note Note the procurement strategy for contracts for the supply of natural gas and electricity for both large 100kW and smaller Sub 100kW sites for the period from April 2017 for three years until the end of March 2020.

7. To approve that the council continues to purchase for the majority of the contract through the government's Crown Commercial Services framework.
8. To approve that energy supplies for sites within the council's direct control (operational buildings, idea stores, street lighting etc.) are purchased through an energy broker.
9. To delegate authority to the Corporate Director of Development & Renewal to comply with the agreed buying and management strategy for the duration of the contract. This includes the removal of parties or clients in the contract who do not adhere to the terms and conditions of the service level agreement that they enter into with the council.

Action by:

ALL DIRECTORS/DIRECTORATES
CORPORATE DIRECTOR, RESOURCES (Z. COOKE)
(Head of Procurement (Z. Ahmed))

Reasons for the decision

The Council's Procurement Procedures require submission of a quarterly forward plan of contracts for Cabinet consideration, and it is a requirement of the Constitution that "The contracting strategy and/or award of any contract for goods or services with an estimated value exceeding £250,000, and any contract for capital works with an estimated value exceeding £5,000,000, shall be approved by the Cabinet in accordance with the Procurement Procedures". This report fulfils these requirements for contracts to be let during and after the period Q1 of the Financial Year.

Alternative options

Bringing a consolidated report on contracting activity is considered the most efficient way of meeting the requirement in the Constitution, whilst providing full visibility of contracting activity; therefore no alternative proposals are being made.

5.13 Corporate Revenue and Capital Budget Monitoring Q3 2015/16 (Month 9)**DECISION**

1. To note the Council's revenue and capital financial performance compared to budget for 2015/16 as detailed in Sections 3 to 7 and Appendices 1-4 of this report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, RESOURCES (Z. COOKE)
(Chief Accountant (K. Miles))

Reasons for the decision

Good financial practice requires that regular reports be submitted to Council/Committee setting out the financial position of the Council against budget.

The regular reporting of the Corporate Revenue and Capital Budget Monitoring provides detailed financial information to members, officers and other interested parties on the financial performance of the council.

Alternative options

The council reports its anticipated annual outturn position against budget for both revenue and capital net spend.

Significant variations, trends and corrective action are reported in the body and appendices of the report.

The council could choose not to produce regular budget monitoring reports but that would limit the council's ability to effectively monitor its financial position.

5.14 Strategic Performance Monitoring Q3 2015/16**DECISION**

1. To note progress in delivering the strategic measures at the quarter 3 stage (appendix 1 to the report).

Action by:

DIRECTOR, LAW, PROBITY AND GOVERNANCE (M. CLAY)

(Acting Service Head, Corporate Strategy and Equality)

Reasons for the decision

This monitoring report details the council's progress in delivering activities within the Strategic Plan and performance for Strategic Measures at the quarter 3 (to December 2015) stage.

Cabinet is asked to:

- Review progress in delivering the strategic measures at the quarter 3 stage (appendix 1);

Alternative options

The council reports its strategic performance. Significant variations, trends and corrective action are reported in the body and appendix of the report. No alternative action is proposed; this report is produced to ensure that Members are kept informed about decisions made under the delegated authority.

5.15 Corporate Directors' Decisions

DECISION

1. To note the Corporate Directors' decision set out in Appendix 1 to the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, RESOURCES (Z. COOKE)

(Accountant – Financial Planning (A. Kadir))

Reasons for the decision

Financial Regulations require that regular reports be submitted to Cabinet setting out financial decisions taken under Financial Regulation B10.

The regular reporting of Corporate Directors' Decisions should assist in ensuring that Members are able to scrutinise officer decisions.

Alternative options

The Council is bound by its Financial Regulations (which have been approved by Council) to report to Cabinet setting out financial decisions taken under Financial Regulation B10.

If the Council were to deviate from those requirements, there would need to be a good reason for doing so. It is not considered that there is any such reason, having regard to the need to ensure that Members are kept informed about decisions made under the delegated authority threshold and to ensure that these activities are in accordance with Financial Regulations.

5.16 List of Individual Executive Mayoral Decisions

DECISION

1. To note the Individual Mayoral Decisions set out in Appendix 1 to the report.

Action by:

COMMITTEE SERVICES MANAGER (M. MANNION)

Reasons for the decision

This is a noting report to aid transparency.

The reasons each decision were taken are set out in their specific reports.

Alternative options

The alternative option would be to not produce this report, but that would not aid transparency of decision making.

6. ANY OTHER UNRESTRICTED BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

Nil items.

7. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

Nil items.

8. EXEMPT / CONFIDENTIAL MINUTES

Nil items.

9. OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

9.1 Chair's Advice of Key Issues or Questions in Relation to Exempt / Confidential Business

Nil items.

9.2 Any Exempt / Confidential Decisions "Called in" by the Overview & Scrutiny Committee

Nil items.

10. ANY OTHER EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

Nil items.

The meeting ended at 7.39 p.m.

Mayor John Biggs